

Streamlined Approval Process: Nutrient Management Strategies (NMS)

2019 Update

The Streamlined Approval Process simplifies the review process for NMS that meet set criteria. These NMS will be placed into a separate review queue. The approval time for these submissions is expected to be less as the time required to review NMS that meet and follow the set criteria will be shorter

All the following criteria must be met for an NMS to be considered for the streamlined approval process. An NMS that does not meet all of the criteria is not eligible for the streamlined process and will be reviewed through the Standard Review Process. Streamlined Submissions with errors may also be reviewed through the Standard Review Process.

Criteria – Submission must meet each of the criteria listed	Rational
The submission is prepared using the most current version AgriSuite.	The most recent version of AgriSuite flags issues and includes checklists. This makes the review process more efficient and straight forward.
The submission uses the most current version of the Overview of the Operation Template. <i>(Found on nutrientmanagement.ca)</i>	The template ensures the required information is submitted in an easy to follow, consistent format. Information requiring verification can be checked efficiently.
The Farmstead Sketch Checklist is complete and included with the submission <i>(Found on nutrientmanagement.ca)</i>	This ensures that all the requirements of the Farmstead Sketch are included.
Verification of the property Roll Number(s) is included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Copy of the tax bill, • Printout from AgMaps or other GIS, • Other acceptable method 	Documentation of where the Roll Number was obtained from, such as a tax bill or Arc GIS printout, ensures that the Roll Number is correct.
All AgriSuite Flags are explained: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information flags are explained • BMP flags are explained • Legislative STOP flag should not be shown 	Respond to any flags in the file to show that issues were addressed, and explanations are easily found.

Criteria – Submission must meet each of the criteria listed	Rational
<p>Previous/Existing Approvals (if applicable) have been reviewed and an explanation in the Overview of Operation about the previous approval has been provided.</p>	<p>When an operation has previous approvals (ASM or NASM), review staff needs to assess how the new submission relates to the previous approvals.</p>
<p>The farm unit is/will be generating 100 NU or less.</p>	<p>Usually, farm units with 100 NU or less</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • are less complex (have fewer generating facilities and fewer properties in the farm unit) • include fewer storages
<p>Liquid and Solid Manure is stored separately on the farm unit.</p>	<p>When liquid and solid manure are stored in the same storage, there is added complexity to the submission</p>
<p>There is greater than 240 days of manure storage on the farm unit.</p>	<p>Farm units with less than or equal to 240 days of manure storage require a more technical review to determine if they meet the regulations.</p>
<p>All manure generated is applied on the farm unit.</p>	<p>There is a lot of information to check when manure is transferred.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An assessment must be made if the manure is being transferred to an appropriate location.
<p>For Poultry there is at least one tillable acre for nutrient application for each 0.8 NU generated ($\leq 0.8\text{NU}/\text{ac}$)</p> <p>Or</p> <p>For all other livestock there is at least one tillable acre for nutrient application for each 1 NU generated ($\leq 1\text{NU}/\text{ac}$)</p>	<p>If there is a greater ratio, the review becomes more technical to determine if there could be over loading of nutrients on the farm unit.</p>